

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box. 159 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.tspid.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO BERND BRUCHMANN

09/581,560

07/17/2000

192286USOPCT

09/30/2003

2525

22850

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER SERGENT, RABON A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1711

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₹ 4	3		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/581,560	BRUCHMANN ET AL.
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI- 1144 NO DATE - 644		Rabon Sergent	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the sation of the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	ugust 2003 .	
2a)□		s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-26</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>11-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/581,560

Art Unit: 1711

Page 2

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 27, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 11-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter et al. ('018) or Jacobs et al. ('902) or Slack et al. ('519 or '163).

Application/Control Number: 09/581,560 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Patentees disclose the reaction of (cyclo)aliphatic diisocyanates, including isophorone diisocyanate and hexamethylene diisocyanate, with monols, including cyclic alcohols, in the presence of a catalyst to yield a polyisocyanate mixture containing allophanate and isocyanurate groups. Additionally, the references disclose ratios of diisocyanate to monol that overlap those claimed by applicants. Furthermore, the references are considered to at the least render obvious the deactivation of the catalyst and the reduction of the residual monomer content. See column 5, lines 59 and 60 within Potter et al. See column 6, lines 8 and 9 within Jacobs et al. See column 3, line 46 and 47 within Slack et al. ('519). See column 4, lines 44-46 within Slack et al.

4. Though patentees disclose monol species in addition to those claimed, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to select the cyclic alcohols from those disclosed, since one would have expected viable products to result from the use of any of the disclosed monols.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent September 24, 2003

('163).

RABON SERGENT